From: Benjamin Shorr

To: <u>Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA</u>

Cc: Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Mary Baker; Robert Neely

Subject: Re: follow up-**Date:** 05/29/2007 04:31 PM

Eric & Chip-

I would like to request from LWG the GIS layers used in the Round 3B FSP's for benthic risk and the most recent coring FSPs. We should definitely have these layers to facilitate our review and comments and also reiterate our request to receive spatial data layers for FSP's in addition to the pdf documents to expedite our review & collaborative approach. They can post them on the Portal and notify us or transmit them directly to us-

Thanks,

Ben

Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

Ben, here is the table I came up with. I have not included descriptions or the rationale. However, I have included the LWG's proposed samples. One thing we discussed is the M&B site. We have included a recommendation for samples offshore of the cap. However, M&B collected 71 bioassays in 1999 and 2000 or 2001 that were used to delineate the cap boundaries. These are not included in your map. I am not even sure they are included in QM (the only M&B results are phase 1 - 4 RI

not data in support of the sediment cap basis of design report. Any

thoughts?

Also, on a more general note, my thinking is that we would use the benthic risk evaluation as a way to assess the nature and extent of

contamination. This is fine for most chemicals with the exception of

certain bioaccumulative chemicals - i.e., PCBs, DDT, PAHs (clam consumption scenario) and possibly dioxin. Here are some thoughts on

additional sediment data to support these base on application of bioaccumulative PRGs:

1) PCBs - there are some moderate hits of PCBs in the channel in the

vicinity of RM 10.4. We seem to have the near shore hits covered through additional sampling in the vicinity of 10 A. In addition, the

LWG has proposed two samples in area 27 (not an area for benthic risk)

presumably due to PCB hits. There is one other potential area - Area $8. \,$

We do not have this listed, the LWG proposes 1 core a this location and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

it appears that PCBs are well delineated laterally.

2) \mbox{DDT} - There are a lot of \mbox{DDT} hits downstream of \mbox{Arkema} along the

west bank. Some of these are included in our areas. Some are not including off shore of PGE, LOFTG, downstream of Kinder Morgan, Babcock

and perhaps Marine Finance (LWG Area 8).

- 3) PAHs There are a lot of hits downstream of GASCO on the west bank and M&B and T-4 on the west bank above the LWG's 10-5 B(a)P PRG of 170 ppb. At higher concentrations (i.e., 1.7 ppm), our sources are covered.
- 4) Dioxin Elevated dioxins (>1.1 ng/kg) seem to be associated with the following sources U.S. Moorings, Arkema, RPAC, Gunderson and M&B.

These seem to be generally covered.

Eric

(See attached file: SurfaceSedimentSamples.xls)

Benjamin Shorr <Benjamin.Shorr@ noaa.gov>

То

Eric

Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA 05/29/2007 11:24

CC

AM Burt

Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

Mary

Baker <<u>Mary.Baker@noaa.gov></u>,

Robert Neely

<Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>

Subject

Re: follow up-

Sounds good Eric- I can generate a summary table of the points and rationales pretty easily from the updated points on the map- if you want $\frac{1}{2}$

to add the sample quantities to the table that is in the GIS, we can use

that for mapping & also send to the LWG.

Attached is the most recent table representing the Areas--- there are 2 new fields that can be filled in (BIO PROPOSED and

new fields that can be filled in (BIO_PROPOSED and SEDIMENT_PROPOSED).

We (NOAA) are comfortable with the rationale & process- I will check

with Mary Baker about the time-frame that we set out for completing

these map series and providing them to LWG. Technically we can accomplish this quickly, just want to make sure that we have time to

internally review.

Best, Ben

```
Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote:
      I think we made a lot of progress on Friday. I think we
agreed to
      distribute what we have developed to the entire project team
and
      discuss
      on June 4th. I would like to distribute the following:
      Rationale and process description - I believe that this is
      completed and
      on the ftp site. Are any additional changes/modifications
      required?
      Map depicting areas, iAOPCs and proposed sample locations -
Joe
      marked up maps. I sounds like it is pretty straight forward
to
      transfer.
      Table with sample numbers for each area - chemistry and
bioassays.
      Τ
      will produce this.
      I will get the table completed today. Please let me know
whether
      need to modify the rationale and process piece and the time-
frame
      completing the maps.
      Thanks for all your good work on this.
      Eric
                   Benjamin Shorr
                   <Benjamin.Shorr@</pre>
                   noaa.gov>
      То
                                             Joe
Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
                   05/29/2007 10:51
      CC
                   AM
                                             Burt
      Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
                                             Robert Neely
<Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>,
      Eric
Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
      Marv
                                             Baker
<Mary.Baker@noaa.gov>
      Subject
```

Re: follow up-

```
Sounds good Joe-
```

Perhaps we can meet up tomorrow at some point so that I can transfer $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

what you & Eric have come up with into GIS and finalize it so that

we

can easily communicate with LWG for the bioassay/surface sediment $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

3B

 $\,$ FSP. Depending on what time you leave for work- I can swing by

youi

house on my way in. Otherwise, I can stop by EPA at some point.

Thanks! Ben

Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

Ben and Burt,

I met with Eric and Jim Friday in Portland. I think

getting their

input

was long overdue.

Here are some points resulting from the meeting.

35 bioassays, 141 sediment samples

extent/bounding samples are chemistry only

International slip and Swan Island lagoon will not get

more

samples in this round

I am taking the day off today, but I will be checking

email

occasionally

today. I can meet Wednesday or Thursday this week.

Ιt

would be

great

to transfer Eric's proposed sample locations from my

map to

a GIS

layer.

I am not sure if we would still meet next week with

Eric.

Joe

Benjamin Shorr

<Benjamin.Shorr@</pre>

noaa.gov>

To

Joe

Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

Burt

05/29/2007 08:12

Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

AM

<Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>

Subject

follow up-

```
Hey Joe & Burt-
            Hope you both had a good weekend~ sorry that I missed
      meeting up
            with
            you in Portland, Joe- we did end up driving down Sat.
AM in
      the
            camper.
            Had a great time out on the coast.
            I would like to follow up with both of you and take a
look
      at the
            recent cut on bioassay/surface sed. for benthic risk
Rd. 3.
      I can
            stop
            down at EPA early this week so that we can be ready to
go
            tentative Mon. June 4th 1pm get together.
            Thanks-
            Ben
            Benjamin Shorr
            NOAA National Ocean Service
            Assessment and Restoration Division
            Physical Scientist, GIS Developer/Analyst
            7600 Sand Point Way NE
            Seattle, WA 98115
            (v) 206.526.4654 (f) 206.526.6865
            benjamin.shorr@noaa.gov
            http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/orr about.php
      Benjamin Shorr
      NOAA National Ocean Service
      Assessment and Restoration Division
      Physical Scientist, GIS Developer/Analyst
      7600 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115
```

(v) 206.526.4654 (f) 206.526.6865

http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/orr about.php

benjamin.shorr@noaa.gov

--

Benjamin Shorr NOAA National Ocean Service Assessment and Restoration Division Physical Scientist, GIS Developer/Analyst 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

(v) 206.526.4654 (f) 206.526.6865

benjamin.shorr@noaa.gov

http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/orr_about.php(See attached file:

Areas_Potential_Benthic_Risk_052507.xls)

--

Benjamin Shorr NOAA National Ocean Service Assessment and Restoration Division Physical Scientist, GIS Developer/Analyst 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

(v) 206.526.4654 (f) 206.526.6865

benjamin.shorr@noaa.gov

http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/orr about.php